This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:	
☐ BLACK BORDERS	
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES	
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING	
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING	
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES	
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS	
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS	
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT	
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY	
Потиев.	

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/488,909	01/21/2000	Hideki Hiura	P4010NP/CSL	5094
7590 08/24/2004			EXAMINER	
SONNENSCI	HEIN NATH & ROS	HOANG, PHUONG N		
P.O.Box 06108	30			
Wacker Drive Station Sears Tower			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL (50606-1080		2126	

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		50
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summany	09/488,909	HIURA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phuong N. Hoang	2126
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. a reply within the statutory minimum of thineriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	13 May 2004.	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unc	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 - 21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 - 21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 January 2000</u> is	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ c	bjected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	· ·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9483) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	· —	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/488,909

Art Unit: 2126

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 – 21 are pending for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 11, and 15 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hetherington, US patent no. 6,275,810 in view of Kaufman, US patent no. 5,313,647.
- 4. Hetherington was cited on the last office action.
- 5. **As to clam 1**, Hetherington teaches a method for providing for concurrent subprocessing of a master process, the method comprising the steps of:

interfacing with a master process (daemon, col. 1 lines 25 - 30 and col. 5) when a user-specific operation (endpoint computer running locale application, col. 4 - 5) is encountered (interfacing when a specific locale is selected, col. 6 lines 58 - 67);

Application/Control Number: 09/488,909

Art Unit: 2126

mapping a user-specific process with the master process (specify the locale to be mapped, col. 4 lines 49 – 65 and col. 6 lines 58 - 67);

processing the user-specific operation in the user-specific process (running the endpoint application, col. 5 lines 25 – 67).

Hetherington does not explicitly teach the step of mapping so that it overlays virtual addresses of the master process. However, Hetherington teaches that the global process spawns the user-specific process (daemon spawns multiple processes, col. 1 lines 25 – 30 and col. 4). One of ordinary skill in the art would understand that when spawning, the child process would inherent all the property of the parent process.

Kaufman teaches that when spawning, the child would overlay the parent's virtual memory address (vm_folk to duplicate a parent process's virtual memory information for a child process, col. 31 lines 14 - 20 and col. 2 lines 1 - 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching Hetherington and Kaufman's system because Kaufman's duplicating virtual memory address in the spawning process would let the child process virtual address overlays the parent's process virtual address when it inherits all property of the parent's process to have an identical or mirror address of the parent.

6. **As to claim 2**, Hetherington teaches the steps comprising the of transferring data between the master process and the user-specific process (mapping, col. 4 lines

Application/Control Number: 09/488,909 Page 4

Art Unit: 2126

50 - 65 and col. 6 lines 58 - 65) using a communication channel that does not require the serialization of data (IPC facility 19, col. 12 - 20).

- 7. **As to claim 3**, Hetherington modified by Kaufman teaches the step of providing an interface (Hetherington; map, col. 4 lines 50 65 and col. 6 lines 58 65) for the user-specific process that mirrors (Kaufman; duplicate, col. 31 lines 14 20) an interface for the master process.
- 8. **As to claim 4**, Hetherington teaches the steps of wherein the master process is a global locale process (daemon containing multiple locales, col. 6) and the user-specific process is a locale-specific process (enppoint application running locale-specific, col. 6).
- 9. **As to claim 5**, Hetherington teaches the step of wherein the user-specific process is mapped after the user-specific operation is encountered (mapped when user specifies the locale, col. 6 lines 58 65).
- 10. **As to claim 6**, Hetherington teaches the step of wherein the user-specific process is mapped before the user-specific operation is encountered (the default locale, col. 6 lines 10 25).

Application/Control Number: 09/488,909 Page 5

Art Unit: 2126

11. **As to claim 7,** Hetherington teaches the step of returning processing to the master process after processing the user-specific operation in the user-specific process (the server maintains the mapping process, col. 4 lines 50 - 67).

- 12. **As to claim 8,** it is the medium claim of claim 1. See rejection for claim 1 above. Further, Hetherington teaches the step of mapping a plurality of concurrent user-specific processes (Gregorian, Hijri, and Hebrew may be selected and mapped, col. 6 lines 58 67 and col. 4 lines 49 65) to the global process.
- 13. **As to claim 9,** Hetherington teaches the instructions (instructions, col. 15 lines 60 65), when executed, provide each of the plurality of concurrent user-specific processes with an interface that is identical to an interface of the global process.
- 14. **As to claim 10**, Hetherington modified by Kaufman teaches the steps of mapping sub-processes within each of the plurality of user-specific processes, the sub-processes being mapped to virtual addresses that are equivalent to virtual addresses (vm_folk to duplicate a parent process's virtual memory information for a child process, col. 31 lines 14 20 and col. 2 lines 1 5) for user-specific operations of the global process.

Art Unit: 2126

15. **As to claim 11**, Hetherington teaches the step of returning processing to the global process after execution of the sub-processes is complete (the server maintains the mapping process, col. 4 lines 50 - 67).

- 16. **As to claim 15**, it is the apparatus claim of claim 1. See rejection for claim 1 above.
- 17. **As to claims 16 21**, see rejection for claims 2 7 above.
- 18. Claims 12 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaufman, US patent no. 5,313,647 in view of Hetherington, US patent no. 6,275,810.
- 19. **As to claim 12**, Kaufman teaches a computer system for enabling concurrent multiple sub-process handling in a global process environment, the system comprising the steps of:

a global process (parent process, col. 31 lines 15 - 20); and

a virtual memory separator (vm_folk to duplicate a parent process's virtual memory information for a child process, col. 31 lines 14 – 20 and col. 2 lines 1 – 5) that maps a child process to virtual addresses that mirror virtual addresses of the global

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/488,909

Art Unit: 2126

process, the child process having an interface that mirrors an interface of the global process.

Kaufman does not explicitly teach that the child process is a user-specific process.

Hetherington teaches that the child process is a user-specific process (endpoint running locale application, col. 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching Kaufman and Hetherington's teaching because Hetherington's user-specific process would provide the child process to run on an application having user-specific environment.

- 20. **As to claim 13**, Hetherington teaches the step of wherein the global process is a global locale process (daemon contains all locales, col. 5) and wherein the user-specific process is a locale-specific process (endpoint application is locale application, col. 5).
- 21. **As to claim 14,** Hetherington teaches the step of wherein the global process is a global daemon process (daemon spawns multiple processes, col. 1 lines 25 30) and wherein the user-specific process is a user-specific daemon process (each endpoint contains a daemon, col. 4 lines 50 col. 5 lines 10).

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2126

22. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Carter et al., US patent no. 5,987,506, demonstrating a remote access and geographically distributed computers in a globally addressable storage environment.

23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong N. Hoang whose telephone number is (703) 605-4239. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (703)305-9678. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ph August 18, 2004 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100